

CONNECTICUT REGIONAL SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

8/15/2015

B Month

VISITOR INTRODUCTIONS AND QUESTION & ANSWER SESSION:

Toby, John, Alfred, Michael r, Allyse

SERENITY PRAYER

CALL TO ORDER

The CTRSCNA met at 661 Avery Street in South Windsor

The meeting was called to order at 2:00 pm by Howard

After a moment of silence followed by the Serenity prayer, Nicole read the 12 Traditions, Tonia read the 12 Concepts.

ROLL CALL

Areas were represented. were not present.

CHAIR -P

VICE CHAIR-P

SEC-P

TREASURER-P

ALT TREAS- OTF

RD-P

RDA-P

WEB-A

ALT WEB-OTF

SCHEDULE-A

POLICY FACILITATOR-P

CONVENTION-P

H&I-(VICE CHAIR PRESENT)

P.R.-OTF

WSR-OTF

B.O.D.-P

GWA-J.C.

GHNA- LINDSAY

GHA-BRYAN

GDA-MICHAEL

SFCA-TAFFY

USA-ELIZ

MSUA-BRIAN

CCA-ALLEN

TVA-JEREMEY

APPROVAL OF LAST MONTH'S MINUTES

Jason read the Old Business, Elections and New Business sections of the December minutes.

Motion) 2nd TVA : To approve the October minutes. 7-0-0 PASSED

GHA

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORTS

Treasurer – read the report. (November 2013 report)

Opening Balance:	
Donations Received:	\$
Misc. Donations/Funds Returned	\$
Expenditures Total:	\$
Donation to WSO:	\$
Closing Balance:	\$
Schedule Reserve:	\$
Checkbook Total:	\$

Motion ()/2nd () To approve the December Financial Statement.

GHA- Is there a way to see based on previous donations if we are on track to be financially sound, based on changing the donation from CT convention, we were in financial emergency and if we extend out to June accepting money from convention before we send money out to World or spend money.

Treasurer- It has been my experience that when we pay our insurance annually and not sure if we can make monthly payments but maybe hold money to fund that type of bill.

GHA- So having a cash flow can happen

Treasurer -Yes

SHARING SESSION

#1 AREA AND STRSC MAILBOX

- **MSUA BRINGS UP ATTENTION TO THE FACT THAT WE HAVE EMAILS ON OUR WEBSITE AND WITH THE IDEA OF ENHANCING OUR COMMUNICATIONS AND AND POSSIBLY BY USING THIS FORM OF COMMUNICATION WE CAN BE ANONYMOUS AND GET RID OF SOME POTENTIAL ISSUES HERE**
- **CCA-IS THERE ANYTHING WRONG WITH PASSING ALONG UNAPPROVED MINUTES BEFORE THEY ARE APPROVED? AND DND I DON'T FEEL THAT THEY SHOULD BE SENT OUT PRIOR**
- **TREASURER-UNAPPROVED MINUTES ARE NOT APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION, WE TAKE INFO IN OUR REPORTS AND THAT WAS PASSED HERE IS OK TO GO OUT.**
- **GHA- I HAVE ISSUES WITH MINUTES SENT OUT PRIOR TO APPROVAL,**
- **AND AS FOR THE EMAIL THING I DEFINITELY THINK ITS SOMETHING WE CAN TALK ABOUT IT.**
- **ANYONE WHO WANTS INFO ABOUT HOW TO UTILIZE THEIR EMAILS, CONTACT WEBSERVANT FOR THAT AND PASSWORD.**
- **RD-SOME AREAS HAVE OTHER MEMBERS USE THEIR EMAIL BOX ON WEBSITE AND THE AREA ANCAN DECIDE.**
- **MEMBER-WHY NOT HAVE RCM CONTACT THE SECRETARY IF NEED INFO ON MINUTES**
- **MEMBER- JUST GOT A REPORT ON HOW EFFICIENT IT WAS TO USE EMAILS AND THIS IS ONE OF THE FIRST TIMES I HAVE SEEN THE MINUTES NOT APPROVED. WHY NOT HAVE INFORMATION AVILABLE TO ALL MEMBERS.HOPEFULLY THIS BODY WILL MAKE A DECISION ACCORDINGLY**
- **USA- THIS IS HOW WE HAVE ONE BUSINESS AND HOW WE HAVE DONE BUSINESS**
- **SECRETARY – CLARIFIES THAT ATHE MINUTES ARENT APROVED UNTIL THE NEXT MONTH AND SHOULD HAVE TIME TO BE REVIEWED AND BROUGHT BACK FOR CLARITHY BY THE RCM**

#2 Convention Policy Changes

- **Convention- so we can update policy and bring changes here.
Policy facilitator reads front cover**

#3 USSC AT PIG ROAST

- **MEMBER FROM GROUP STATE THAT THIS USSC IS MADE OF NA MEMBERS AND GROUPS AND SHOWE UP AT PIG ROAST AT IT EVENT WITH NA LOGO AND IT WAS TOLD TO ME THAT THEY WERE GIVEN PERMISSON BY OUR CHAIR WHICH ISNT CORRECT. I AM PASSING AROUND A PICTURE TO SHOW WHAT THIS GROUP LOOKS LIKE AND WHAT THEY DO AND HOW THEY USE THE NA LOGO. A FB MESSAGE WAS POSTED ABOUT AND NOONE FROM REGION OR OUR AREA WAS AT OUR ROUP ASKING INFO. I WAS TOLD THIS PIC WAS POSTED ON FB TO THROW OUR GROUP UNDER THE BUS AUST LETTING THE REGION**
- **MEMBER- YOU SAID THIS PICTURE WAS POSTED ON FB PAGE CT SERVICE OPPORTUNITIESAND WHO ADMINISTORS THAT PAGE?**

- **CCA- HOW DO WE KNOW WHO THEY ARE AND ARE SELLING NA MEMORABILIA BECAUSE I DIDN'T KNOW WHO THEY WERE AND ARE USING NA LOGOS, HOW WOULD I KNOW THAT THEY AREN'T SANCTIONED BY THE GROUP OR NA. IS THERE A WAY THAT WE CAN FAMILIARIZE THE FELLOWSHIP WITH THE FACT THAT THEY AREN'T SANCTIONED.**
- **MEMBER- I DID TALK TO TEHM AND DIDN'T KNOW THAT THEY WERNT NA AND ACTUALLY BOUGHT A SHIRT**
- **MEMBER-FROM MY OWN PERSPECTIVE NA WSO DOESN'T SANCTION NA AND THEY ARE MEMBERS OF THE FELLOWSHIP AND THE BEST BET IS TO RESEARCH THE USSC AND BECOME INFORMED ABOUT WHAT IT ACTUALLY IS. MADE UP OF 182 GROUPS OF NA THEN THEY ARE NA, HAVE A RIGHT TO USE THE NA NAME OR LOGO ON THEIR T SHIRTS**
- **SFCA- WE HAVE AN EXISTING SERVICE STRUCTURE , IT SEEMS THAT THIS USSC IS TRYING TO FORCE THEIR WAY INTO OUR SERVICE STRUCTURE AND SNEAKING IN LIKE SNAKES AND TRYING TO CREATE DISUNITY AGAINST NAWs. OUR AREA IS GOING TO ASK THESE MEMBERS IF THEY SHOW UP AT OUR ARE WILL BE ASKED TO LEAVE. OUR AREA FEELS THAT IF WE HAVE ASKE ANYMEMBERS OF THE**
- **MEMBER – SEEMS THAT WE HAVE AN ISSUE WITH MAINTAINING OUR CORE USSCVALUES WHILE GROWING AS A FELLWSHIP AND CHANGING SO WE CAN UTILIZE THAT IONFO TO GERROW AND CHANGE.TO NOT ATTEND OUR EVENTS.**
- **MEMBER-WEHTER USSC IS GOOD OR BAAD THE POINT HERE IS THAT IF THEY WANT TO COME TO AN EVENT THEN FOLLOW SAME PROCEDURES WE DO AND FOLLOW THE RULES**
- **CHAIR OF PIG ROAST- THE USSC SHOWED UP AND STATED THAT THEY WERE GIVEN PERMISSION AND THEY WERNT AND THIS DECEPTION AND MISLEADING PEOPLE. ARE THE RD AND RDA IN FAVOR OF THE USSC WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW?**
- **RD- I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF USSC**
- **RDA- I SHARE THE SAME SENTIMENTS, AND I AM NOT IF FAVOR OF THE USSC AND ALSO SUPPORT THE NINTH TRADITION.**

#3 SOLUTION FOR A BETTER REGION

- **BROUGH NFO TO MY AREA AND MY AREA ASKED ME TO REACH OUT TO WSO BECAUSE WE HAVE HAD AT LEAST 2 MONTHS WITH FIGHTS AND WE AREN'T HELPING THE ADDICTS WHO SUFFER.**

#4 INFORMATION BROUGHT BACK TO AREAS

- **HAD A CAMPOUT AND FEELS THAT DUE TO ALL THE STUFF HAPPENING HERE IS HARMIONG INFORMATION THAT ADDICTS WANT TO ATTEND**
- **H AND I ALT- I BROUGHT 300 FLIERS HERE AND HAD GROUPS CAME TO ME AND SAID THAT THEIR AREAS AREN'T GETTING INFORMATION BACK AT GROUPS.**
- **CHAIR- THE REGION IS WHERE WE SHARE INFORMATION AND A SUGGESTION IS TO NETWORK AND CHECK THE TABLE TO GET FLIERS FOR EVENTS AT THE AREA**
- **GHA- WERE THOSE FLIERS POSTED ON THE EWEBSITE? H AND I ALT- I JUST FOUND OUT**
- **MEMBER-I HEARD A LOT ABOUT RCM NOT BRINGING INFORMATION BACK, IF WE DON'T GET OUR FLIERS ON WEBSITE AND WASTING MONEY ON PAPER , YOU'RE KILLING TREES.**
- **CONVENTION- THERE ARE REGISTRATION FLIERS OUT NOW, SO TAKE THEM!**
- **VICE CHAIR- UTILIZE THE EVENTS ON THE EVENT SHEET AND IT WILL END UP IN MINUTES**
- **TVA ALT- I GO TO A LOT OF AREAS AND IT SEEMS THAT MOST AREAS AREN'T POSTING FLIERS OR PUTTING THEM OUT.**
- **RDA- OUR POINT OF CONTACTS ARE RCM AND GSR THEDN THE NEWCOMER ISN'T GETTING THE INFORMATION**
- **MSUA- THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT TO OUR ATTENTION AGAIN AND I AM GOING TO BRING THIS TO HEART AND DO A BETTER JOB AT BEING AN RCM FOR MY AREA.**

#5 10 TH CONCEPT REDRESS

- According TO GTWS, READS REDRESS * GET FROM TONY*****

#6 BOD REQUESTING THAT WE CHANGE CTNA DISBURSEMENT TO JUNE FROM MOTION

- CONVENTION CHAIR- CAN I MAKE THIS MOTION?
- MSUA- I WOULD CAUTION HAVING ANYONE FROM CONVENTION MAKING THAT MOTION AND WOULD BE BETTER FROM AN RCM
- GHA- HOW CAN WE TELL BOD TO DO THIS THEY ARE A CORPORATION
- FACILITATOR- ITS UNCLEAR ABOUT BOD/ AN CTRSC TELLING THIS WHAT TO DO
- RD- EVERY YEAR WE GO THROUGH THIS IF THE BOD IS A SUBCOMMITTEE OR NOT? WE HAVE A CORPORATION CALLED NAWA AND SOMEHOW IT IS WRITTEN IN THEIR POLICY THAT THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE TO THE FELLOWSHIP AND I DON'T SEE HOW THIS IS ANY DIFFERENT

#7 POLICY IV.A.6

- ATTATCH MIKES SECTION FROM REPORT- WE DIDN'T INTEND TO CREATE A CONTRADICTION AND I FEEL AS THE POLICY FACILITATOR WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IT CRYSTAL CLEAR.
- GHA- SO YOU CANT HAVE 2 POSITIONS? IF FELLOWSHIP SAYS WE WANT CHANGE IN POLICY WHY DO WE NEED TO SEND TO POLICY FACILITATOR.
- VICE CHAIR- FOR CLARIFICATION AND CONTENT SO THAT WE DON'T DONFLICT WITH OTHER AREAS OF POLICY. WHEN IT COMES BACK FROM THE POLICY COMMITTEE/FACILITATOR, THE BODY CAN REJECT THOSE CHANGES OR MAKE AMENDMENTS AS SEEN FIT. IT'S NOTCONTROLLING ANYTHING JUST ON HOW THE POLICY IS WRITTEN.
- MEMBER- ISNT THAT THE ROLE OF THE CHAIR TO CALL IT OUT OF ORDER AND IF NEEDS TO BE REFINED THEN THEY MAKE CHANGES
- GHA- I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THE ROLE OF FACILITATOR WAS TO JUST LOOK OVER MOTIONS NOT AKE DECISIONS.. SO THIS IS Y IDEA OF A WORK GROUP TO LOOK OVER OUR POLICY TO SEE WHAT WORKS AND DOESN'T IN OUR POILICY SO WE CAN COME TO CONSENSUS ON HOW WVE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD.
- POLICY- THE SOCIAL MEDIA MOTION WAS REFERRED TO POLICY AND PART OF THE PROBLEM HERE IS PROCEDURELY AND THE DISCUSSION ABOUT MOTIONS AND AND PROCEDURELY IT TIES UP THE MOTION AND IF AREAS WANT IT TO GO TO GROUPS IT SHOULD.
- POLICY- WHERE DO WE GET PROPER LANGUAGE FOR POLICY
- RD- LISTENING TO THIS IN MY EXPERINCE WITH MOTIONS, THAT ANYTHING REGARDING POLICY AT WS THAT THE INFORMATION IS CAR READY SO THAT ALL POLICIES TO COME OR IN PLACE ARE ACCOUNTED FOR. WE HAVE ALWAYS OPERATED THIS WAY WHEN REAGARDING POLICY SO THAT ITS CLEAR.
- VICE CHAIR- A WORK GROUP WAS MENTIONED, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE PUT INTO THE FACILITATOR WAS AN OREINTATION AND NOONE SHOWED UP. I RECOMMND THAT WE USE THAT TIME FOR THINGS LIKE THIS.
- MEMBER- POINT OF ORDER THIS IS A MUTE POINT

#8 WORK GROUP

- GHA WWOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND A GROUP THAT CAN GO OVER THE POLICY AND IF WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE AND HAVE SOME DISCUSSION TO BETTER GET INFORMATION AND BETTER SERVE THE AREAS AND AVOID SOME OF THE CONTENTION.
- RDA- COULD WE DO THIS WITH THE GOOLGE GROUP?

- **MSUA- IF I UNDERSTAND RIGHT, THERE IS A CONCINECE IN THIS ROOM OF A LOVING CARING GOD AND IF WE NEED TO GO BACK AND FORTH WITH EACH OTHER ABOUT HOW POLICY IS AND IT IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO CREATE CLEAR INFORMATION FOR THE AREAS. AND THAT IS WHAT I WAS SENT HERE TO DO. IF IT MEANS THAT WE MEET HERE AND GO THROUGH THIS THEN I WILL AND WHAT IS A LOVING CONCIENE THROUGH THIS TO BEST SERVE THE ADDICT WHO SUFFERS.**

#9 PUBLISHING OF MINUTES TO AREA BEORE APPROVED

- **CCA- I HAVE NEVER KNOWN IT TO BE OK TO RELEASE THE MINUTES TO THE AREA WITHOUT THEM BEING APPROVED AND I WOULD LIKE SOME CLARITY. IS IT GOOD IDEA OR BAD IDEA.**
- **TREASUREER- SHOULDN'T THE AREAS DECIDE THIS IF THEY CAN BE DISTRIBUTED?**
- **SFCA- I DON'T BRING STUFF TO MY AREA UNLESS IT IS APPROVED, UNLESS I PUT UNAPPROVED. AS AN RCM IT IS MY RESPONSIBILITY TO BRING STUFF TO AND FROM MY AREA TO FULFULL WHAT THEY WANT. I JUST TAKE NOTES AND REPORT TO THEM WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AND WHAT MOTIONS ARE TO BE VOTED ON AND THEN THEY DO WHAT THEY WANT WITH IT. WHY WOULD WE DISTRIBUTE ANYTHING THAT IS UNAPPROVED FROM A BUSINESS MEETING**
- **MSUA- WE HAVE MONEY HERE AND WE CONDUCT BUSINES AND IF A COPY WENT OUT AND CHANGED OR APPROVED MINUTES THAT ARENT APPROVED PRIOR TO THAT, IT COULD GET US INTO TROUBLE POSSIBLY.**
- **RD- COMMON SENSE STATEMENT IS DEGRADING. COMMON PLACE IN PAST THAT YOU SAW THE MINUTE ON A TABLE, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE CHANGE AND ACCURACY OF THEM, AND NOT WHAT IS ACTUALLY BEING REPORTED TO THE AREAS.**

BREAK: 4:00

OLD BUSINESS:

MOTION #1(7/18/15)

SURVEY- TYPE IN MOTION!!!

AT WEB

MOTION #2(7/18/15/)

TO ADD LANGUAGE AND REQUIREMENT TO CTRSC POLICY UNDER RULES OF ORDER, NUMBER 111.B.4, WORKSHOP/ PRESENTATION TOPICS WILL BE DECIDED AND PUBLISHED 60 DAYS PRIOR TO THE NEXT SCHEDULED B MONTH.

INTENT: TO INFORM AND ALLOW OUR MEMBERS IF INTERESESTED THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND THE WORKSHOP/PRESENTATION.

MAKER-BRIAN

SEDONDED- MICHAEL GDA

MOTIO TO POSTPONE FOR 30 DAYS

MAKER- RD

SECONDED-DEVON

7-0-0 PASSES

DISCUSSION: (8/15/15)

MSUA- MOTION CAME UP AT AREA AND WENT BACK TO GROUPS AND MY AREA FEELS THAT IF WE ARE DOING WORKSHOPS THAT THE WORD IS OUT 60 DAYS PRIOR TO PROMOTE EFFECTIVE COMMUNCATION AND PROMOTE ATTENDANCE FOR INTERESTED MEMBERS

RD- GREAT IDEA NOT SURE IT'S A POLICY CHANGE

CCA- WOULD THIS REQUIRE 2/3

YES

MOTION TO POSTPONE TO GROUPS FOR 60 DAYS-

MAKER-GHA

SECONDED-SFCA

Motion #3 (7/18/15)

To amend CTRSC policy IV.B.4.b to read “Record accurate minutes of the monthly CTRSC meeting and distribute within 2 weeks of the RSC meeting.

Maker- Taffy

Seconded-MSUA

Intent- For the RCM’s to have an accurate recording of the RSC meeting to refer to and report their AREAs.

Motion to postpone for 30 days

Maker-RD

Second- Brian

Passes 7-0-0

Discussion: (8/15/15)

SFCA- We would like to have correct information to bring back to my area prior to our ASC and feel that 2 weeks is enough time.

TVA- I thought it was 10 days

GHA- Is it a reasonable time to get them out

CCA- I am not sure that 2 weeks is an adequate time to make all areas ASC meeting, it may need to be less.

Member- Please allow the chair to call on you

GDA- Some areas meet different times, we have ample time usually I have minutes on time.

MSUA- We have a motion and areas that have concern that there is concerns from areas that this timeline may not work, why not come up with a time line.

Treasurer- I am confused about this, I thought this was a note taking commitment and why is there such a bearing on how fast minutes are out if we are taking notes to bring back to areas as RCM’s.

TVA - Minutes are usually handed out at our area and my notes are hard to take and can’t write down everything that was just said. And having minutes help me share information.

WSR - TVA in the past used to meet day after region and relied on notes not the secretary minutes.

GHA - Can we have at least motions distributed the next week.

MSUA - I would like to amend minutes to read 10 days from RSC meeting.

Motion to amend motion #3(7/18/15)

To read: To amend CTRSC policy IV.4.b to read “record accurate minutes of the monthly CTRSC meeting and distribute within 10 days of the RSC meeting”

Maker: MSUA Second: SFCA 8-1-0 Passes

7-1-1 PASSES

ELECTIONS:

Alt Treasurer- RDA nominates Alfred, accepts, Qualifies and is elected

WSR- OTF

Alt Web- SFCA nominates Bill, accepts, Qualifies, and is elected

P.R.- RDA nominates Mike, accepts, Qualifies, and is elected

NEW BUSINESS:

MOTION #1 (8/15/15)

TO MOVE 66.48 FROM H AND I LINE ITEM “LITERATURE” TO LINE ITEM “DOC LITERATURE”

INTENT: TO COVER COST OF DOC LITERATURE ORDER

MAKER: TREASURER

SECONDED: USA

TREASURER- THERE IS A LIT ORDER THAT EXCEEDS THE LIT LINE ITEM AND LAST YEAR THEY USED NO MONEY FOR LIT SO I AM ASKING FOR THAT LINE ITEM MOVE

9-0-0 PASSES

MOTION #2 (8/15/15)

WE MOTION THAT THE PRESENT RDA BE REMOVED FROM HIS POSITION AT THE CTRSC FOR CONDUCT PROMOTING DISUNITY EXEMPLIFIED BY:

- 1. DELIBERATE AND DISHONEST REPORTS SUBMITTED TO THIS BODY**
- 2. DIVISIVE POSTINGS ON SOCIAL MEDIA REGARDING NA AND ITS MEMBERS**
- 3. OPENLY PROMOTING WITHIN N.A> AN ENTITY IN CONFLICT WITH THE PRINCIPLES OF NA, ITS SERVICE STRUCTURE AND VISION STATEMENT**

INTENT: TO UPHOLD OUR TRADITIONS, TO PRESERVE UNITY, TO HOLD OUR TRUSTED WERVANTS ACCOUNTABLE TO N.A.'S GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND TO MOVE FORWARD IN RESTORING INTEGRITY TO THIS SERVIE BODY.

MAKER: BRYAN D SECONDED: TAFFY

- DISCUSSION:**
- GHA- MADE THIS MOTION BECAUSE THIS POSITION IS A LINK BETWEEN OUR SERVICE STRUCTURE AND NAWs AND WHAT I HAVE SEEN FOR SEVERAL MONTHS IS; BEHAVIOR THAT ISNT CONSISTENT WITH THAT POSITION. A LOT OF THE CONTROVERSY THAT IS HERE AT THE REGIONAL TABLE IS IN PART DUE TO THIS TRUTED SERVANT. I CAN SHARE EVERYTHING THAT HAS HAPPENED, BUT I WILL CHOOSE ONE. I REPORTED TO GHA THAT THE RD/RDA TEAM WAS GOING TO SUPPORT USSC AND LEARNED AFTER THE FACT AND THAT AFTER THAT A MEMBER WAS INVITED TO A CONVERSATION WITH THE ALTERNATE DLEEGATE AND MEMBERS OF THE USSC. AS A TRUSTED SERVANT OF THIS BODY IT ISNT OK TO PARTICIPATE WITH DISUNIFYING FACTIONS.**
- VICE CHAIR- READS POLICY, RDA DIDN'T MISUSE NA FUNDS, AND DIDN'T MISS 2 CONSECUTIVE MEETINGS, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU THE DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES HE FAILED TO PERFORM?**
- GHA - THERE IS A SECTION ABOUT MISCONDUCT AND WHAT I HAVE OUTLINE I WOULD DESCRIBE AS MISCONDUCT**
- RDA - WHAT WAS THE DELIBERATE REPORTS**
- GHA - THE ONE THAT WASN'T ACCEPTED TO START WITH**
- RDA - IS IT ONE OR MORE THAN ONE REPORTS?**
- TVA - IS THIS MOTION COMING FROM GHA OR THE RCM ITSELF, IN MY OPINION THAT YOU AND THE SFCA HAVE BEEN TARGETING THE RDA?**
- GHA - IS THIS QUESTION AND ANSWER? THIS POSITION IS ELECTED BY THE RSC THE GHA HAS ELECTED ME TO REPRESENT THEM, BASED ON MY KNOWLEDGE TO PRESENT THIS MOTION AND I DID BRING THIS TO MY AREA**
- GDA - WAS THIS DONE AS A CAPACITY OF RDA OR AS AN INDIVIDUAL?**
- RDA - AT GHA A MEMBER ASKED WHAT IS THE USSC, I DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO SHARE AT GHA SO I ADDED HIM AFTER TO A CONVERSATION WITH USSC**
- MSUA - I WENT TO THE ZONAL FORUM AS MYSELF, YET I AM ELECTED AND TRUSTED BY MY AREA TO REPRESENT THEM IN THAT CAPACITY.**
- USA ALT - I WAS ALSO AT GHA ASC, YOU FORGOT TO MENTION HOW GHA RCM REPORT WAS INAPPROPRIATE AND YOU ALSO ADDED INFORMATION ABOUT THE USSC AND HOW MANY GROUPS ARE INVOLVED ETC. SO YOU ARE LEAVING INFORMATION OUT AND DIDN'T SIMPLY LEAVE ROOM.**
- MEMBER - ALSO AT GHA ASC, ITS VERY TROUBLING TO ME TO HAVE HEARD THE REPRESENTATION AND VALIDATION TOWARDS THAT OTHER ENTITY, WHAT MAKES NA IS ITS GIDING PRINCIPLES, SUCH AS OUR 12 TRADITIONS AND CONCEPTS AND GROUP CONSCIENCE. THE USSC EXISTS IN CONFLICT WITH THAT AND WERE CREATED TO BE IN**

CONFLICT WITH NA GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND THE IDEAS PRESENTED BY THEM HAVENT BEEN ADOPTED BY THE FELLOWSHIP. I HAVE NO IDEA WHY A TRUSTED SERVANT WOULD REPRESENT SOMETHING AT AN ASC SOMETHING THAT IS COMPLETELY AGAINST OUR CONCEPTS OR THE VOICE THAT NA AS A WHOLE HAS EXPRESSED. I GET ONFUSE WHEN I HEAR THAT WE TALK TO THEIR MEMBERS, GO TO THEIR EVENTS, YET THE RDA DOESN'T BELIEVE IN THEM.

- **SFCA- THE FACTS REMAIN THAT I RECEIVED A DISTURBING MESSAGE FROM THE RDA ON FACEBOOK, IF THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS INTENDED FOR ALL EYES THEN WHY DID HE USE FB TO ATTACK ME. THE MONTH THAT WE RECEIVED THE REPORT WITH UNTRUE INFORMATION, DURING THAT MEETING I SPECIFICALLY ASKED THE RDA IF THE REPRESENTATION OF 25 FB NA GROUPS. HOW MANY FB PAGES DOES NA SUPPORT, THE ANSWER WAS 0, I MADE A MOTION TO NOT ACCPET RDA REPORT TO NOT ACCEPT AND IT WAS NOT SENT OUT AFTER VOTE PASSED. ASC MEETING THAT IS REPROTED THAT OUR RDA IS SUPPORTING THE USSC AND MY AREA IS NOT COMFORTABLE WITH HAVING OUR RDA REPRESENTING US AT ZONAL FORUMS, WSC, ETC. WE HAVE WORKED TO BUILD OUR SERVICE STRUCTURE WITH PRICIPLES AND THIS SPLINTER ORGANIZATION CANNOT BE REPRESENTED HERE IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM.**
- **GNHA- HAVING HARD TIME UNDERSTANDING THIS AND SOMEONE LOSING THEIR POSITION AND I FEEL IF THIS SOCIAL MEDIA HOT TOPIC AND I DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE BRINGING THIS BACK TO MY AREA.**
- **MSUA- ASKS RDA IF YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT IS GOING ON HERE, AND THE REGION IS UNCOMFORTABLE IT SEEMS WITH DISUNITY. IF MY AREA TALKED ABOUT A PROBLEM FOR MONTHS ON END WITH MY BEHAVIOR THEN I WOULD ASK MYSELF AM I SERVING THE POSITION**
- **CCA- WHEN I COME HERE I TRY AND KEEP MY PERSONAL STUFF OUT OF HERE AND AGAIN IF THIS COMES TO VOTE I NEED TO KEEP THIS BASED ON WHAT I HAVE SEEN AND NOT MAKE THIS PERSONAL. ANYTHING AT ANOTHER AREA THAT I DIDN'T SEE IS HERESAY AND I WANT TO BASE THIS DECISION ON FACTS. HOPE THAT EVERYONE KEEPS PERSONAL FEELINGS OUT OF THIS VOTE.**
- **USA ALT- DOES THIS NEED TO GO TO GROUPS? NO ... ONLY REQUIRES 2/3 AFFIRMATIVE**
- **MEMBER- WHEN WE SERVE THIS LEVEL AND WE ARENT ALLOWED TO TURN OFF WETHER WE WANT TO OR NOT. WE REPRESENT THE POSITION NOT OUR OWN OPINIONS. SO TO GO ON FB AND TYPE WHAT WE WANT ISNT SERVING THIS POSITION. IF MY GROUP ASKED ME TO STEP DOWN I WOULD**

**CALL TO VOTE
QUORUM 9 AREAS
VOTE – 2-3-4 FAILS**

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The meeting closed at:

Next meeting:

In Loving Service
Jason L.

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATION (THE ABC'S OF NA)

ASC – Area Service Committee
BOD – Board of Directors (for the CRCC, Inc. – Connecticut Regional Convention Corporation)
BT – Basic Text
CAR – Conference Agenda Report
CAT – Conference Approval Track material
CBDM – Consensus Based Decision Making
CCA – Central Connecticut Area
CTRCNA – Connecticut Regional Convention of Narcotics Anonymous
CTRSCNA – Connecticut Regional Service Committee of Narcotics Anonymous
FIPT – Fellowship Intellectual Property Trust
FSS – Florida Service Symposium (service conference)
GDA – Greater Danbury Area
GHA – Greater Hartford Area
GLS (GTLS) – A Guide to Local Services in Narcotics Anonymous
GNHA – Greater New Haven Area
GWA – Greater Waterbury Area
GWSNA (GTWS) – A Guide to World Services in Narcotics Anonymous
H&I – Hospitals & Institutions
IW: H&W – It Works: How & Why (Green & Gold)
JFT – Just for Today daily meditation book
MARLCNA – Mid-Atlantic Regional Learning Conference
MRLE – Multi-Regional Learning Event
MSUA – Mid-State Unity Area
NAWS – Narcotics Anonymous World Services
NEZF – North East Zonal Forum
OTA – Out to the Area
OTF – Out to the Fellowship
PI/PL – Public Information & Phone Line
PR – Public Relations
RCM – Regional Committee Member
RD – Regional Delegate
RDA – Regional Delegate Alternate
RSC – Regional Service Committee
SFCA – Southern Fairfield County Area
SWG – Step Working Guides
TVA – Tunxis Valley Area
USA – United Shoreline Area
WSDL – Western Service Learning Days (service conference)
WSO – World Service Office
WSR – Writing Steps for Recovery